

ADDENDUM NO. 1

RFP– Design-Build – ESUHSD: W.C. Overfelt H.S
Project #Z-060-602
W.C. Overfelt – Music, Art-Theater, Administration and Quad Modernization
RFP- 48-16-17

1. GENERAL

This document includes requirements that clarify or supersede portions of the bid and/or contract requirements for the project. This Addendum is a Contract Document.

2. SUMMARY

The following changes, additions and deletions shall be made to the following document(s); all other conditions shall remain the same.

1. We would request that the District adjust this design-build procurement to a "Progressive Design-Build Procurement Model", in lieu of the current "Stipulated Sum Design-Build Procurement Model". Please confirm if the District team would be willing to explore this change?

RESPONSE: The District will not allow for this change.

2. OCIP – please provide a copy of the OCIP manual as well as copies of the policies.

RESPONSE: See attached;

Document 00 73 16.18- to be omitted from front end specifications.

3. Given the considerable expense incurred for such a rendered fly through and the possibility that the buildings or features shown in the rendering might change, would the District be willing to defer the requirement for the rendered fly through until after the DBE contract commences?

RESPONSE: NO, See response to item 4 below.

4. 00 11 19-5 - 14/i Digital 3-D model, please confirm that a 3-D model fly through will not be required if sufficient exterior / interior renderings of design solutions of the building are provided. A full 3-D model fly through is very expensive and time consuming to produce.

RESPONSE: A 3-D flythrough is not required, but highly recommended. A formal presentation will be required before final DBE selection which needs to convey the various angles and approaches to the new building(s) and site work.

5. The RFP documents make reference to CHPS in several locations (e.g. Volume 2 pages 32 and 173); however, the majority of the documents only note LEED requirements. Please confirm that CHPS is not a part of the contract requirements. If CHPS is intended to be part of the contract requirements, please provide additional information on the requirements, as the documents are not clear in this regard.

RESPONSE: This is not required, but the District desires to have 2014 CA-CHPS requirements met within project scope and stipulated budget.

6. Appendix 2 to 00 41 00 Proposal Form – Project Cost Breakdown – the project cost breakdown has a line item for DSA fees; it is our recommendation that the District carry these fees separately. Please confirm that all permit / DSA fees will be by the District and not to be included in the Design Build Entities price proposal.

RESPONSE: This cost will be an owner controlled allowance item within the DBE contract. This cost is within the \$17,700,000 stipulated sum as stated.

END OF DOCUMENT